REPORT NUMBER: 126/19

TO: COUNCIL ASSESSMENT PANEL

DATE: **24 APRIL 2019**

SUBJECT: COUNCIL ASSESSMENT REPORT
AUTHOR: ALEXANDER STAMATOPOULOS

DEVELOPMENT OFFICER - PLANNING

ATTACHMENTS: 1. LOCALITY PLAN

2. PROPOSED PLANS

3. SUPPORTING LETTER FROM APPLICANT

HEARING OF REPRESENTORS NOT APPLICABLE
HEARING OF APPLICANT NOT APPLICABLE

DA NO. : 110/00184/19

APPLICANT : MARIA KOURTESIS AND PETER WADMORE

LOCATION : 63 ROSSALL ROAD, SOMERTON PARK

DEVELOPMENT PLAN : CONSOLIDATED 2 JUNE 2016

ZONE AND POLICY AREA : RESIDENTIAL ZONE

NATURE OF DEVELOPMENT: MERIT

PROPOSAL : TORRENS TITLE LAND DIVISION CREATING TWO ALLOTMENTS FROM

ONE ALLOTMENT

EXISTING USE : RESIDENTIAL REFERRALS : NOT APPLICABLE

CATEGORY : ONE

REPRESENTATIONS : NOT APPLICABLE

RECOMMENDATION DEVELOPMENT APPROVAL

1. Site and Locality

The subject site is located on the southern side of Rossall Road approximately 160 metres east of the Esplanade. The property and surrounding locality are located in the Residential Zone. The immediate locality is characterised by a predominance of single and two storey detached dwellings on larger allotments. The immediate locality is showing signs of transitioning to larger dwellings and modern forms of architecture, as old dwelling stock is being replaced by newer dwellings. Beyond the immediate locality there is a predominance of single and two storey detached dwellings, however, due to the proximity to the Esplanade, there are also some group dwellings, residential flat buildings and hammerhead style allotments (four located within the immediate locality). Overall, the surrounding locality mostly displays a mix of original allotments and housing stock with some infill redevelopment, and newer replacement single dwellings.

Refer to Attachment 1

2. Proposed Development

The proposed development seeks to redevelop an existing allotment by creating two allotments, both facing the street. This results in two equal site areas of 390 square metres and frontages of 9.14 metres each.

Development Assessment Data

Allotment	Proposed	Development Plan	Development Plan Satisfied?
1 (eastern-most)	Site area 390 square metres	Minimum site area of 400 square metres	No
	Frontage width 9.14 metres	Minimum frontage width of 12 metres	No 2.86m variance
2 (western-most)	Site area 390 square metres	Minimum site area of 400 square metres	No
	Frontage width 9.14 metres	Minimum frontage width of 12 metres	No 2.86m variance

3. Public Notification

The land division application is a Category 1 development in accordance with the Development Regulations 2008 and therefore not subject to public notification.

4. Development Plan Provisions

The following tables contain a detailed assessment of the proposal against the provisions in the Holdfast Bay (City) Development Plan consolidated 2 June 2016:

HOLDFAST BAY (CITY) DEVELOPMENT PLAN – ASSESSMENT

General Section – Design & Appearance		
Objectives	Assessment	
1 Development of a high standard and appearance that responds to and reinforce positive aspects of the local environment and built form.	Balanced. Whilst the side-by-side allotments reinforce the established rhythm of allotment formation within the locality, facilitating dwellings that front the street for a positive future built form outcome, they are presented in a narrower configuration than the prevailing character in the street.	
Principles		
16. Building design should emphasise pedestrian entry points to provide perceptible and direct access from public street frontages and vehicle parking areas.	Complies. The side-by-side allotment configuration ensures that entry points to future dwellings provide perceptible and direct access from public street frontages, which cannot otherwise be achieved through a hammerhead arrangement.	

General Section – Land Division				
Objectives	Assessment			
1 Land division that occurs in an orderly sequence allowing efficient provision	Complies. The side-by-side allotment			
of new infrastructure and facilities and making optimum use of existing	configuration reinforces the established			
under-utilised infrastructure and facilities.	orderly pattern of division along Rossall			
under demised initiastracture and racinties.	Road.			
2 Land division that creates allotments appropriate for the intended use.	Complies. The proposed allotments are			
2 Early division that dicates anotheries appropriate for the interlace ase.	intended for residential use.			
3 Land division layout that is optimal for energy efficient building orientation.	Complies. The side-by-side allotment			
5 Land division layout that is optimal for energy emolent sanding orientation.	configuration facilitates deep backyards			
	(which is less likely through a			
	hammerhead arrangement) which is			
	important in this case as the private open			
	spaces of each proposed allotment is			
	south-facing and inherently sunlight			
	deficient.			
Principles of Development Control	Assessment			
1 When land is divided:	Complies			
(a) stormwater should be capable of being drained safely and	Complies			
efficiently from each proposed allotment and disposed of from the				
land in an environmentally sensitive manner				
(b) a sufficient water supply should be made available for each				
allotment				
(c) provision should be made for the disposal of wastewater, sewage				
and other effluent from each allotment without risk to health				
(d) proposed roads should be graded, or be capable of being graded to				
connect safely and conveniently with an existing road or				
thoroughfare.				
2 Land should not be divided if any of the following apply:	Complies. The proposed development			
(a) the size, shape, location, slope or nature of the land makes any of	will have all new allotments facing the			
the allotments unsuitable for the intended use	street.			
(b) any allotment will not have a frontage to one of the following:				
(i) an existing road	Complies. The proposal seeks Torrens			
(ii) a proposed public road	Titled allotments, meaning that an			
(iii) access to a public road via an internal roadway in a plan of	internal roadway (i.e. hammerhead			
community division	configuration) is unwarranted.			
(c)				
7 Land division should result in allotments of a size suitable for their intended	Complies. The side-by-side allotment			
use.	configuration ensures maximum sized			
	allotments where land is not wasted for			
	the provision of internal roadways			
	otherwise required as part of a			
	hammerhead arrangement.			
8 Land division should facilitate optimum solar access for energy efficiency.	Complies. The side-by-side allotment			
	configuration facilitates deep backyards			
	(which is not possible through a			
	hammerhead arrangement) which is			
	essential in this case as the private open			
	spaces of each proposed allotment is			
	south-facing and inherently sunlight			
	deficient.			

REPORT NUMBER: 126/19

General	General Section – Land Division				
Objective		Assessment			
11 Allotn	nents should have an orientation, size and configuration to	Complies. The side-by-side allotment			
	ge development that:	configuration ensures that future			
(a) minimises the need for earthworks and retaining walls		dwellings will face the street. Also, by			
	maintains natural drainage systems	avoiding the 'stacked' arrangement of			
	faces abutting streets and open spaces	dwellings resulting from hammerhead			
(d)	does not require the removal of native vegetation to facilitate that	allotments, the existing dwellings on			
	development	either side are spared the detrimental			
(e)	will not overshadow, dominate, encroach on or otherwise	bulk, scale and overshadowing that			
	detrimentally affect the setting of the surrounding locality.	potentially comes with such			
	<u> </u>	arrangements.			
13 The a	rrangement of roads, allotments, reserves and open space should	Complies. The side-by-side allotment			
	ne provision of a stormwater management drainage system that:	configuration ensures that future			
	contains and retains all watercourses, drainage lines and native	dwellings will face the street, integrating			
	vegetation	directly with the street, thereby			
(b)	enhances amenity	providing occupants with direct access to			
(c)	integrates with the open space system and surrounding area.	the public realm (as opposed to being			
		segregated from the street and located			
		at the back of another dwelling in a '			
		Hammerhead arrangement).			
17 The d	esign of the land division should provide space sufficient for on-	Complies			
street vis	itor car parking for the number and size of allotments, taking				
account	of:				
(a)	the size of proposed allotments and sites and opportunities for on-				
	site parking				
(b)	the availability and frequency of public and community transport				
(c)	on-street parking demand likely to be generated by nearby uses.				
18 The d	esign of the land division should provide at least one readily	Complies			
accessibl	e on-street car parking space adjacent to every two allotments				
created,	except along an arterial road.				
General	Section – Orderly & Sustainable Development				
Objective	es	Assessment			
1 Orderly	and economical development that creates a safe, convenient and	Complies. The conventional land division			
pleasant	environment in which to live.	proposed follows the established pattern			
		along Rossall Road in a configuration			
		which allows the two dwellings to face			
		the street, avoiding the need to			
		segregate one of the dwellings at the			
		rear of the other. The side-by-side			
		arrangement would ensure that			
		occupants were not isolated from the			
		street and exposed to safety risks at the			
		rear of another dwelling.			

REPORT NUMBER: 126/19

General Section – Residential Development	
Objectives	Assessment
1 Safe, convenient, pleasant and healthy living environments that meet the	Complies. The side-by-side arrangement
full range of needs and preferences of the community.	would ensure that occupants are not
	isolated from the street and exposed to
	potential safety risks of an unsurveilled
	house at the rear of another dwelling.
2 A diverse range of dwelling types and sizes available to cater for changing	Complies. There are few side-by-side
demographics, particularly smaller household sizes and supported	allotments with narrow frontages to
accommodation.	offer choice for residents and the
	opportunity to 'age-in-place' in the
	locality in the familiarity of a dwelling
	that fronts the street. The proposed
	configuration enables residents to reside
	in a smaller dwelling without the need to
	abandon their outlook onto the street,
	which would occur if forced to reside in a
	hammerhead allotment.
6 Increased affordable housing opportunities through land division and the	Complies (as per above)
conversion of buildings to a residential use.	
Principles	Assessment
3 Residential allotments should be of varying sizes to encourage housing	Complies. The proposed allotments offer
diversity.	choice for residents to reside in a smaller
diversity.	dwelling without the need to be
	segregated on a hammerhead allotment.
6 Entries to dwellings should be clearly visible from the streets that they front	Complies. The side-by-side allotment
to enable visitors to identify a specific dwelling easily.	configuration ensures that entry points
to chable visitors to identify a specific awelling easily.	provide perceptible and direct access
	from public street frontages, which
	cannot otherwise be achieved through a
	hammerhead arrangement.
General Section – Transportation & Access	Hammernead arrangement.
Principles	Assessment
	Complies. The prevailing character of
27 Except where located within the Residential Character Zone, a dwelling should only be developed on an allotment in the form of a hammerhead or	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	development in the locality is defined by
battleaxe configuration (including for group dwellings) where all of the	side-by-side allotments with dwellings
following are achieved:	facing the street. As such, the proposal
(b) the driveway or 'handle' portion of the allotment is located in a manner	reinforces this pattern (albeit with
that is compatible to the prevailing pattern of development	narrower frontages), and the site would
	offend Principle 27 if fashioned in a
- 44 44-	hammerhead arrangement.
Residential Zone	
Objectives	Assessment
2 Increased dwelling densities in close proximity to centres, public transport	Complies
routes and public open spaces.	
3 Development that contributes to the desired character of the zone.	Balanced. Whilst the prevailing character
	of development in the locality is
	reinforced by the proposed side-by-side
	allotments, the allotment frontages are
	much narrower than the prevailing
	widths.

Residential Zone			
Desired character			
Development outside of the Policy Areas will be suburban in nature and evolve in response to progressive infill development of existing individual sites and through consolidation of sites to form larger comprehensive redevelopment opportunities. Infill development outside of the Policy Areas will not compromise the suburban character but will progressively increase dwelling densities through unobtrusive small-scale developments. In this regard, infill development will have a comparable height, mass, scale and setbacks to that of existing dwellings in the relevant locality.			Balanced. The side-by-side allotments repeats the pattern found along Rossall Road, albeit in tighter configuration with narrower frontages. The benefit, however, could be that the ensuing dwellings would be less obtrusive than the alternative infill option, which will require two stacked dwellings in an unfamiliar hammerhead arrangement.
The zone's primarily suburban character outside of the policy areas is defined by detached dwellings on individual allotments. Infill development in these suburban areas will contribute to the city's housing diversity through development opportunities that (in order of preference): (a) increase dwelling numbers on allotments that have dual road frontages (b) provide low scale dwellings at the rear of large allotments with street frontages wide enough to accommodate appropriate sited and sized driveway access and landscaping semi-detached dwellings, where site considerations permit.			Balanced. The premise for accommodating dwellings at the rear of others is that they be sited on 'large allotments'. The proposed allotments are created at the margins with narrow frontages. However, there needs to be an assessment as to whether the intent of the Development Plan is better served by creating allotments in a configuration that does not concede a large section of land for vehicle access way (being the 'handle' of a hammerhead allotment).
Principles of Developme		roo wasant ar undarutilisad	1.000001110110
3 Except where specified in a particular policy area, vacant or underutilised land should be developed in an efficient and co-ordinated manner to increase housing choice by providing dwellings at densities higher than, but compatible with adjoining residential development.			Complies
7 A dwelling should, except where specified in a particular policy area or precinct, have a minimum site area (and in the case of group dwellings and residential flat buildings, an average site area per dwelling) and a minimum frontage to a public road not less than that shown in the following table:			Does not comply. The allotments measure 390m ² as opposed to the prescribed 400m ² . Furthermore, the frontages of 9.14 metres are well short of
Dwelling type	Site area (square metres)	Minimum frontage (Except for allotments in the form of a hammerhead configuration)	the prescribed 12 metre minimum.
Detached	400 minimum	12 metres	
Semi-detached	350 minimum	12 metres	
Group dwelling	350 average	12 metres	
Residential flat building	350 average	12 metres	

5. Summary of Assessment

Zone and Land Use

The Residential Zone anticipates a predominately low-density suburban form with the progressive redevelopment of existing individual sites. Allowances for infill developments are designed to contribute to housing diversity through development opportunities via increasing dwelling numbers on allotments that have dual road frontages and low scale dwellings at the rear of large allotments.

The proposed development is finely balanced in that it accords with the Desired Character of the Residential Zone by facilitating low-density development with allotments in a formation that continues the typical pattern of detached dwellings on individual allotments that have frontage to the street. However, the allotments are not presented in the prescribed formation or width, in that they are neither of hammerhead arrangement or 12 metres wide. The Desired Character statement

for the Residential Zone references infill development that contributes to housing diversity by way of the division of corner allotments and hammerhead developments, which is a clear shortcoming of this proposal.

It must be acknowledged that the subject site could accommodate two allotments of 350m² for group dwelling accommodation in a hammerhead formation as of right, although the intent for preferencing hammerhead allotments needs to be understood before dismissing alternative allotment configurations. Where the broad intent for preferencing hammerhead allotments is not achievable, then pursuing such a design based solely on a guiding provision in the Development Plan needs broader thinking. The intent behind the promotion of hammerhead allotments in the Residential Zone is essentially twofold: 1. To retain as much of the traditional streetscape character as possible by retaining the existing dwelling and concealing any infill to the rear; and 2. To ensure that new dwellings that face the street are sited on allotments of sufficient width so as to mitigate against an architecture that is disproportionate relative to the prevailing built form character.

However, in the case of the allotment at 63 Rossall Road, the existing dwelling on the allotment cannot be retained if the land is to be divided due to its deep setback to the street; it must be replaced with a new dwelling. A hammerhead configured land division would only serve to facilitate two tandem dwellings, retaining neither the established built form character offered by the existing dwelling on the land nor the allotment pattern intended by the balance of provisions in the Development Plan. A hammerhead arrangement would, however, provide a wider frontage to the road-facing dwelling to enable existing building proportions evident along Rossall Road to be replicated, and thereby retaining some of the established built form character. Garages and driveways would appear noticeably narrower in the context of a wide frontage allotment, front yards would cater for a greater amount of landscaping, and dwellings would potentially have a reduced vertical scale on a wider allotment compared with the narrow allotment equivalent. The proposal is therefore finely balanced as to which aspects of the Development Plan take precedence.

Land Division

The proposed Torrens Title division comprises the creation of two allotments of 390m², where the Development Plan anticipates a minimum site area of 400m² per detached dwelling or 350m² for semi-detached dwellings. The property is located in a prescribed Residential Code area, which, in any event, allows for site areas as low as 350m². The proposed division comprises frontages that are notably under the width anticipated by the Development Plan, which seeks a minimum frontage of 12 metres per dwelling. The proposal comprises a pair of sites with equal frontages of 9.14 metres.

In assessing the frontage width shortfall, regard is given to the pattern of development within the immediate locality. There are no other allotments within the immediate locality that have frontages that are less than 12 metres. When considering the areas outside of the immediate locality, there are limited examples of allotments with frontages that are less than 12 metres wide. Further, there are examples of group dwellings and residential flat buildings to which those patterns of development are expressly different from the pattern of division typically found and predominant within the locality (detached dwellings).

Where applying the quantitative provisions of the Development Plan, the subject site would accommodate either a single detached dwelling or a pair of group dwellings with a parcel of common land for vehicle access and manoeuvring. Therefore, and as of right, the subject site could accommodate a group dwelling development in a hammerhead configuration. There are four

REPORT NUMBER: 126/19

examples of group dwellings in the general locality. Therefore, the trend towards consolidating allotments in the locality has been by way of the hammerhead method. A side-by-side division would therefore be a noticeably new way of dividing land in the locality; one without precedent.

Some of the shortcomings in pursuing a side-by-side land division, which is characterised by narrow frontages, include their potential to accommodate dwellings that:

- have garages which appear disproportionately wide relative to the dwelling frontage;
- have separate driveways located in close proximity to one another;
- have reduced areas of front yard for effective landscaping; and
- display a compressed design that is architecturally at odds with the proportionality of conventional dwellings in the locality.

Some of the counter arguments to pursuing a hammerhead arrangement stem from the adverse impacts typically associated with this form of land division, which may result in:

- a front dwelling that is located closer to the street due to shallower allotments, with reduced solar access to a constricted area of private open space;
- an expansive and unusable driveway, which can also be a blight along the side of the allotment;
- a group dwelling development that results in the rear-most dwelling being located directly
 adjacent to the private open space areas of neighbouring properties and therefore further
 decreasing amenity by way of visual intrusion, noise impacts, and overshadowing; and
- accommodation that has a propensity to cause a sense of social isolation for its occupants, who are denied a direct outlook to the street, causing a disconnection from the public realm and a sense of fear and anxiety due to the secluded and unsurveilled nature of their dwelling.

Ultimately, a shortfall of frontage width by way of 2.86 metres per dwelling is not considered so severe that a development comprising a hammerhead configuration is regarded as a better overall planning outcome. It is important to note that the proposed division fails two quantitative Development Plan provisions, which relate to allotment size and frontage width. The allotment size deficiency is minor. The frontage width provision is more fundamental, but as with all other Development Plan provisions, serves as a guide in achieving a form of development that is suitable for the subject site and surrounding locality. The proposal is considered to deliver a better overall planning outcome on the balance of social, built form, and environmental provisions, if not the technical criteria.

Conclusion

The merits of this application are finely balanced, as there is a tension between the quantitative provisions in the Development Plan that prescribe minimum dimensions, and the qualitative provisions that focus on liveability. It is considered that a shortfall in the prescribed frontage width should not be the determining factor in assessing the holistic merits of the proposed development, particularly as the alternative allotment configuration offends a number of Development Plan provisions. The proposed division satisfies the Desired Character for the Residential Zone by way of contributing to a primarily suburban setting that is defined by detached dwellings on individual allotments that reflect a low-density suburban form and will support unobtrusive small-scale development. While the proposal falls short of the guiding provision relating to allotment widths and configuration, the assessment needs to look more broadly. The side-by-side allotments sought by the proponent would achieve conformity with the balance of provisions, particularly those that seek to mitigate the broader social impacts that result from creating allotments that compel a style of accommodation that disconnects occupants both physically and socially from the public realm, and

REPORT NUMBER: 126/19

overlook the meaningful benefits that come from the causal encounters and connection with the community that come from residing in a dwelling that faces the street.

6. RECOMMENDATION

1. The proposed development is NOT seriously at variance with the policies in the Development Plan.

2. Following a detailed assessment of the proposal against the Holdfast Bay (City) Development Plan, the Council Assessment Panel resolves to grant Development Approval to Development Application 110/00184/19 for a Torrens Title land division creating two allotments from one allotment at 63 Rossall Road, Somerton Park subject to the following conditions:

PLANNING CONDITIONS

- 1. The proposal shall be implemented as shown on the plan of division prepared by Fyfe, Reference 66658/1/1 dated 01/03/2019 unless varied by any subsequent conditions imposed herein.
- 2. That the applicant shall advise Council in writing of the demolition of all buildings, structures, footings, pipes and other deleterious materials have been removed from the site in order that Section 51 Clearance may be granted.
- 3. Payment of \$7253 into the Planning and Development Fund (1 allotment @ \$7253/allotment). Payment may be made by credit card via the internet www.edala.sa.gov.au http://www.edala.sa.gov.au or by phone (7109 7018), by cheque payable to the Development Assessment Commission marked "Not Negotiable" and sent to GPO Box 1815, Adelaide, 5001 or in person, at Ground Floor, 101 Grenfell Street, Adelaide.
- 4. The financial requirements of SA Water shall be met for the provision of water supply and sewerage services. The alteration of internal drains to the satisfaction of SA Water is required. On approval of the application, all internal water piping that crosses the allotment boundaries must be severed or redirected at the developers/owners cost to ensure that the pipework relating to each allotment is contained within its boundaries.
- 5. A final plan complying with the requirements for plans as set out in the Manual of Survey Practice Volume 1 (Plan Presentation and Guidelines) issued by the Registrar General to be lodged with the Development Assessment Commission for Land Division Certificate purposes.